Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/671,308	KONNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Kopec	1751
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mark Kopec</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Barbara Siegell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2006</u>	Time: <u>2:00 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(a) discussed.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Claim 6 was rejoined. Non-elected claims 5 and 7 were cancelled.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mala		
	VApplicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)